

# The Daugavet property for pairs of Banach spaces

V.M. Kadets\* and R.V. Shvidkoy

*Kharkov State University  
4 Svobody Sq., 310077, Kharkov, Ukraine*

E-mail: vishnyakova@ilt.kharkov.ua

E-mail: shvidkoy-r@yahoo.com

Received May 7, 1997

We prove that if a separable Banach space  $Y$  contains  $C[0, 1]$ , then  $Y$  can be renormed so that the pair  $(C[0, 1], Y)$  has the Daugavet property with respect to narrow operators. A similar result is proved for  $L_1[0, 1]$ .

## 1. Introduction

This paper deals with a property of Banach spaces. Namely, we say that a pair  $(X, Y)$ , where  $X \subset Y$ , has the Daugavet property with respect to some class of operators  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and write  $(X, Y) \in DPr$  if any  $T \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfies Daugavet equation

$$\|T + J\| = 1 + \|T\|,$$

where  $J : X \hookrightarrow Y$  is the natural embedding. Sometimes we use this concept when  $X$  is isometrically embedded into  $Y$ . In this case, a role of  $J$  plays given embedding.

A question considered here concerns a problem of renorming  $Y$  so that  $(X, Y) \in DPr$  with respect to some class of operators. The result stated in abstract has some interesting consequences concerning isomorphic properties of  $C[0, 1]$  and  $L_1[0, 1]$  ( $C$  and  $L_1$  for short).

In Sections 4 and 5 some propositions we need are formulated without proofs.\* They are given in [1] and [3].

Our notation is standard.

---

\*The work of the first author was partially supported by Grant INTAS 93-1376 and by ISSEP Grant APU061040 "Soros Docent".

## 2. Preliminary constructions

First, we deal with two properties of second category sets in metric spaces. They will be useful in the sequel.

Let  $K$  be a metric space with a metric  $\rho$ . We shall use the following notation:

$$B(k, r) = \{k' \in K : \rho(k', k) < r\},$$

$$S(k, r) = \{k' \in K : \rho(k', k) = r\}.$$

Denote by  $\overline{A}$  the closure of  $A$  and  $\widehat{A} = K \setminus A$ .

Let us recall that  $A \subset K$  is said to be a first category (f.c.) set if  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ , where  $A_i$  are nowhere-dense sets. In the converse case,  $A$  is called a second category (s.c.) set.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $B \subset K$  be a s.c. set; then there exists a point  $k_0 \in K$  and a neighborhood  $U(k_0)$  of  $k_0$  such that for each  $k \in U(k_0)$  and each neighborhood  $U(k)$  of  $k$  the set  $U(k) \cap B$  is of s.c.*

The proof is not difficult (see [2]).

**Corollary 2.** *Suppose  $K$  does not contain an isolated point; then each s.c. set  $B \subset K$  can be partitioned into countably many pairwise disjoint s.c. sets.*

**P r o o f.** Actually, it is sufficient to show that  $B$  contains two disjoint s.c. sets. By Lemma 1 we may fix  $k_0$  and  $U(k_0)$ . Since  $K$  does not contain an isolated point, we have at least two points  $k_1, k_2$  in  $U(k_0)$ . Thus, the sets

$$B(k_1, \frac{1}{3}\rho(k_1, k_2)) \cap B \text{ and } B(k_2, \frac{1}{3}\rho(k_1, k_2)) \cap B$$

are desired ones. The proof is complete. ■

Now for any separable Banach space  $X$  we construct a Banach space  $m_0$  satisfying the following conditions:

- 1)  $X$  is isometrically embedded into  $m_0$  by an operator  $Q$ ;
- 2) given a separable Banach space  $Y$  containing  $X$ , there is an isomorphic embedding  $U : Y \mapsto m_0$  such that  $U|_X = Q$ .

The operator  $U$  gives a possibility to introduce an equivalent norm on  $Y$  :  $p(x) = \|Ux\|$ . We shall show that for  $L_1$  and  $C$  this norm has the property we need (Sections 4 and 5).

Denote by  $K$  the closed unit ball of  $X^*$ . Since  $X$  is separable, the weak\* topology of  $K$  is metrizable. In the sequel, only weak\* topology on  $K$  is considered, and  $\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a metric which generates the topology.

Let us introduce the following Banach spaces:

$$l_{\infty}(K) = \{f : K \mapsto \mathbb{R}, \quad \sup\{|f(s)|, s \in K\} = \|f\|_{\infty} < \infty\},$$

$$m(K) = \{f \in l_{\infty}(K) : \text{supp}(f) \text{ - f.c.set}\}.$$

**Lemma 3.**  $m(K)$  is a closed linear subspace of  $l_\infty(K)$ .

*P r o o f.* This can be deduced from the following simple statements:

if  $f \equiv 0$ , then  $f \in m(K)$ ;

$\text{supp}(\lambda f) = \text{supp}(f)$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ ;

$\text{supp}(f_1 + f_2) \subset \text{supp}(f_1) \cup \text{supp}(f_2)$ ;

if  $\|f_n - f\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\text{supp}(f) \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \text{supp}(f_n)$ . ■

So we can construct the Banach space  $m_0(K) = l_\infty(K)/m(K)$  with the norm  $\|[f]\| = \inf\{\sup\{|f(s)|, s \in K \setminus F\}, F \text{ is a f.c. set}\}$ .

**Lemma 4.**  $X$  is isometrically embedded into  $m_0(K)$ .

*P r o o f.* Since  $X$  is a subspace  $C(K)$ , it is sufficient to show that  $C(K)$  is isometrically embedded into  $m_0(K)$  by the quotient map. For this purpose we prove that  $\|f\|_\infty = \|[f]\|$ ,  $f \in C(K)$ . In fact,  $\|[f]\| \leq \|f\|_\infty$  is obvious. To prove the converse inequality, we fix an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a point  $s_0 \in K$  such that  $\|f\|_\infty = |f(s_0)|$ . Then there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(s)| > \|f\|_\infty - \varepsilon$  for all  $s \in B(s_0, \delta)$ . Since  $B(s_0, \delta)$  is a s.c. set, we obtain  $\|f\|_\infty - \varepsilon \leq \inf\{\sup\{|f(s)|, s \in B(s_0, \delta) \setminus F\}, F \text{ is a f.c. set}\} \leq \|[f]\|$ . So the quotient map restricted to  $X$  organizes the required embedding. ■

We will consider below the inclusions  $X \subset C(K) \subset m_0(K)$  in the sense described.

Thus, Lemma 4 proves condition 1). The following theorem shows that the condition 2) is also valid for  $m_0(K)$ .

### 3. The embedding theorem

**Theorem 5.** Let  $X, Y$  be separable Banach spaces,  $X \subset Y$ ,  $K = \overline{B}(X^*)$  and  $Q : X \mapsto m_0(K)$  be the quotient map restricted to  $X$ . Then there exists an isomorphic embedding  $U : Y \mapsto m_0(K)$  such that  $U|_X = Q$ .

The statement of this theorem follows immediately from

**Lemma 6.** Suppose  $I = \{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a dense set in  $S(Y)$ ; then there exist s.c. sets  $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $K$  such that for each  $s \in B_n$  there is an extension  $\tilde{\delta}_s \in Y^*$  of  $\delta_s$  satisfying:

(a)  $\|\tilde{\delta}_s\| \leq 5$ ,

(b)  $|\tilde{\delta}_s(y_n)| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

Moreover, for all  $s \in B_i \cap B_j$  the corresponding extensions of  $\delta_s$  coincide.

Let us prove Theorem 5 assuming the truth of Lemma 6.

Given an  $s \in K \setminus \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ , we extend the  $\delta_s$  to  $\tilde{\delta}_s \in Y^*$  by Hahn–Banach theorem. The required embedding can be defined in the following way:

$$Uy = [f], \text{ where } f(s) = \tilde{\delta}_s(y).$$

The linearity of  $U$  is obvious. The continuity follows from the condition (a) of Lemma 6. Since  $\tilde{\delta}_s(x) = \delta_s(x) = x(s)$  for all  $x \in X$ , we obtain  $U|_X = Q$ . To prove that  $U$  is bounded from below we show that  $\|Uy_n\| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $n \in N$ . Indeed, by the condition (b)

$$\|Uy_n\| \geq \inf\{\sup\{|\tilde{\delta}_s(y_n)|, s \in B_n \setminus F\}, F - \text{f.c.set}\} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 5.

**P r o o f o f L e m m a 6.** Let us divide  $I$  into two sets

$$I_1 = \{y_n^1 \in I : \exists x_n \in X, \|x_n - y_n^1\| < \frac{1}{4}\},$$

$$I_2 = \{y_n^2 \in I : \text{dist}(y_n^2, X) \geq \frac{1}{4}\}.$$

Denote by  $\tilde{\delta}_s$  an extension of  $\delta_s$  to  $Y$  by Hahn–Banach theorem. We fix a ball  $B = \overline{B}(s', r') \subset K$  with the following property: if  $s \in B$ , then  $-s \notin B$ .

First, we construct  $\{B_n^1\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  corresponded to  $I_1$ . For this, we consider a functional  $s_n \in S(X^*) \setminus B$  such that  $|s_n(x_n)| = |x_n(s_n)| = \|x_n\| > \frac{3}{4}$ . Since  $x_n \in C(K)$  and  $s_n \in K \setminus B$ , we can find  $r_n > 0$  such that

$$\overline{B}(s_n, r_n) \cap B = \emptyset$$

and

$$|\delta_s(x_n)| = |x_n(s)| > \frac{3}{4}, \text{ for all } s \in \overline{B}(s_n, r_n) = B_n^1.$$

We put  $\tilde{\delta}_s = \tilde{\delta}_s$  for  $s \in B_n^1$ . Thus  $\|\tilde{\delta}_s\| = 1$  and (a) follows. Moreover, the estimate

$$|\tilde{\delta}_s(y_n^1)| \geq |\delta_s(x_n)| - |\tilde{\delta}_s(x_n - y_n^1)| \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

implies (b).

Now, we construct  $\{B_n^2\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  corresponded to  $I_2$ . By Corollary 2, we can divide the s.c. set  $B$  into s.c. sets  $\{B_n^2\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Let us extend  $\delta_s, s \in B_n^2$  to  $Y$  as follows:

$$\tilde{\delta}_s = \tilde{\delta}_s + \phi, \text{ where } \phi \in Y^* : \phi|_X \equiv 0, \|\phi\| \leq 4,$$

and

$$\phi(y_n^2) = \begin{cases} \text{sign} \tilde{\delta}_s(y_n^2), & \tilde{\delta}_s(y_n^2) \neq 0, \\ 1, & \tilde{\delta}_s(y_n^2) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The existence of  $\phi$  follows from Hahn–Banach theorem. It is easily seen that  $\tilde{\delta}_s$  is the required extension.

Finally, if  $s \in B_i^1 \cap B_j^1$ , then the corresponding extensions coincide. The other intersections are empty. ■

#### 4. The renorming theorem for $C[0, 1]$

We start with some definitions and notation. Let  $[a, b] \subset [0, 1]$ ; denote by  $C_0[a, b] \subset C$  a subspace of functions vanishing outside of  $[a, b]$ .

**Definition 1.** We say that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(C, Y)$  is narrow if, for each  $[a, b] \subset [0, 1]$  and each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $f \in S(C_0[a, b])$  such that  $\|Tf\| < \varepsilon$ .

Let us mention some properties of narrow operators:

- (N4.1) The function  $f$  in definition 1 can be assumed nonnegative;
- (N4.2) If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(C, Y)$  does not fix a copy of  $C$ , then  $T$  is narrow;
- (N4.3) Narrow operators form a closed linear subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(C, Y)$ ;
- (N4.4) The space of narrow operators is a left-hand ideal in the following sense: if  $T \in \mathcal{L}(C, Y)$  is narrow and  $U \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$ , then  $UT \in \mathcal{L}(C, Z)$  is narrow.

These and other properties of narrow operators are considered in [1] in detail.

**Definition 2.** A point  $t \in [0, 1]$  is called a vanishing point of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(C, Y)$  ( $t \in \text{van}T$ ) if there are intervals  $[\alpha_n, \beta_n] \subset [0, 1]$  and nonnegative functions  $f_n \in S(C_0[\alpha_n, \beta_n])$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  satisfying the following conditions:

- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(\tau) = \delta_{t\tau}$ , where  $\delta_{t\tau}$  is the Kronecker delta;
- $\alpha_n, \beta_n \rightarrow t$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ );
- $\|Tf_n\| \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

Using property (N4.1), the reader will easily prove that  $T$  is narrow iff  $\overline{\text{van}T} = [0, 1]$ .

We apply below the construction of the embedding  $Q$  of Lemma 4 for  $X = C$ . So,  $K = \overline{B}(C[0, 1]^*)$  is the set of Borel measures. The following theorem contains almost complete proof of the main result.

**Theorem 7.**  $(C, m_0(K)) \in DPr$  with respect to narrow operators, where  $K = \overline{B}(C^*)$ .

**P r o o f.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(C, m_0(K))$  be a narrow operator. Given an  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $f \in S(C)$  such that  $\|Tf\| > \|T\| - \varepsilon$ . Let us consider a set

$$B = \{\mu \in K : (Tf)(\mu) > \|Tf\| - \varepsilon\}.$$

Without loss of generality, it can be assumed that  $B$  is a s.c. set. Now, pick a  $\mu_0 \in K$  and a weak\*-neighborhood  $U(\mu_0)$  using Lemma 1.

The only extreme points of  $\overline{B}(C^*)$  are  $\pm\delta$ -measures  $\delta_t \in C^* : \langle \delta_t, f \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(t)$ . Then, by Krein–Milman theorem, there are

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \in R \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in [0, 1]$$

such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu' = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \delta_{t_k} \in U(\mu_0).$$

Since  $\text{van}T$  is dense in  $[0, 1]$ , we can assume that  $t_k \in \text{van}T$ ,  $k = \overline{1, n}$ . Then, by definition 2, there are disjoint intervals  $I_k = [\alpha_k, \beta_k]$  and nonnegative functions

$$f_k \in (1 - f(t_k) \cdot \text{sign} \lambda_k) S(C_0(I_k)), \tag{1}$$

satisfying

$$f_k(t_k) > 1 - f(t_k) \cdot \text{sign} \lambda_k - \varepsilon \tag{2}$$

and

$$\|Tf_k\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \tag{3}$$

for each  $t_k$ . Furthermore, we may assume that

$$|f(t) - f(t_k)| < \varepsilon, \quad t \in I_k. \tag{4}$$

Now, we modify  $f$  putting

$$\tilde{f} = f + \sum_{k=1}^n f_k \cdot \text{sign} \lambda_k.$$

Describe some properties of the  $\tilde{f}$ . First,

$$\|\tilde{f}\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon. \tag{5}$$

Indeed, by choice of  $f_k$  we have

$$|\tilde{f}(t)| = |f(t)| \leq 1 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1] \setminus \cup_{k=1}^n I_k$$

and if  $t \in I_k$  for some  $k$ , then by (1) and (4) we obtain

$$|\tilde{f}(t)| \leq |f(t_k) + f_k(t) \cdot \text{sign} \lambda_k| + |f(t) - f(t_k)| \leq |f(t_k) \cdot \text{sign} \lambda_k + f_k(t)| + \varepsilon \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Secondly, it follows immediately from (3) that

$$\|Tf - T\tilde{f}\| < \varepsilon. \quad (6)$$

Thirdly, regarding  $\tilde{f}$  as an element of  $C(K)$ , we obtain by (2) the following estimate:

$$\tilde{f}(\mu') \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon. \quad (7)$$

Actually,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(\mu') &= \mu'(\tilde{f}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \delta_{t_k}(\tilde{f}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \tilde{f}(t_k) \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k (f(t_k) + f_k(t_k) \cdot \text{sign} \lambda_k) - \varepsilon \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k| (f(t_k) \cdot \text{sign} \lambda_k + f_k(t_k)) \varepsilon \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k| (f(t_k) \cdot \text{sign} \lambda_k + 1 - f(t_k) \cdot \text{sign} \lambda_k - \varepsilon) - \varepsilon = 1 - 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

An intersection of  $B$  with any weak\*-neighborhood of  $\mu'$  is a s.c. set by the choice of  $U(\mu_0)$ . Thus, the set

$$A = \left\{ \mu \in B : |\mu(\tilde{f}) - \mu'(\tilde{f})| < \varepsilon \text{ and } \|Q\tilde{f} + Tf\| \geq |\tilde{f}(\mu) + (Tf)(\mu)| \right\}$$

is nonempty. Let us fix  $\mu \in A$ ; then taking into account (5), (6) and (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \varepsilon)\|Q + T\| &\geq \|Q\tilde{f} + T\tilde{f}\| \geq \|Q\tilde{f} + Tf\| - \varepsilon \geq |\tilde{f}(\mu) + (Tf)(\mu)| - \varepsilon \\ &\geq |\tilde{f}(\mu') + (Tf)(\mu)| - 2\varepsilon \geq 1 + \|Tf\| - 3\varepsilon \geq 1 + \|T\| - 4\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ . This completes the proof. ■

Now we prove our main result.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $Y$  be a separable Banach space containing  $C$  as a subspace and let  $J : C \mapsto Y$  be the natural embedding. Then  $Y$  can be renormed so that the new norm coincides with the original one on  $C$  and  $(C, Y) \in DPr$  with respect to narrow operators in the new norm.*

**P r o o f.** By Theorem 5, there exists an isomorphic embedding  $U : Y \mapsto m_0(K)$ ,  $K = \overline{B}(X^*)$  such that  $Uf = Qf$  for  $f \in C$ . Put  $|||y||| = \|Uy\|$ ,  $y \in Y$ . Then, given a narrow operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(C, Y)$ , we get by (N4.4) and Theorem 7 that

$$|||J + T||| = \sup_{f: \|Uf\|=1} \|U(f + Tf)\| = \sup_{f: \|f\|=1} \|Qf + UTf\| = 1 + |||T|||.$$

■

For the sequel, we need the following

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces with  $X \subset Y$  and  $J : X \mapsto Y$  be the natural embedding. Let  $V \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  be a subspace of operators satisfying the Daugavet equation. Then  $J$  cannot be represented as a sum of a pointwise unconditionally convergent series of operators from  $V$ .*

The proof of this fact is given in [1].

**Corollary 10.** *Let an unconditional sum  $Z$  of Banach spaces  $X_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  contains  $C$ ; then there exists an  $X_{n_0}$  which contains a copy of  $C$ .*

**P r o o f.** Let  $P_n : Z \mapsto X_n$  be the natural projections. Then  $Y = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(C)$  is a separable Banach space containing  $C$ ; denote by  $J : C \rightarrow Y$  the natural embedding. By Theorem 7, there is an equivalent norm on  $Y$  such that  $(C, Y) \in DPr$ . On the other hand, the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n|_C = J$  is point-wise unconditionally convergent. Hence, by (N4.3) and Lemma 9, there exists a  $P_{n_0}$  which is not narrow so that it fixes a copy of  $C$  by (N4.2). ■

## 5. The renorming theorem for $L_1[0, 1]$

Denote by  $\lambda$  the Lebesgue measure on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $[0, 1]$  and by  $sign(A)$  the set of functions  $f \in L_1$  satisfying

$$|f| = \chi_A, \quad \int_A f d\lambda = 0.$$

**Definition 3.** *Let  $Y$  be a Banach space. We say that an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_1, Y)$  is narrow if, for each  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\lambda(A) > 0$  and for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $f \in sign(A)$  such that  $\|Tf\| < \varepsilon$ .*

We shall use the following simple fact ([3]).



**Lemma 11.** *Let  $Y$  be a Banach space and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_1, Y)$  be a narrow operator. Then, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , each  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\lambda(A) > 0$  and  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , there is a partition  $A', A''$  of  $A$  with  $\lambda(A') = \frac{1}{2^m} \lambda(A)$  such that the function*

$$g = (2^m - 1)\chi_{A'} - \chi_{A''}$$

satisfies  $\|Tg\| < \varepsilon$ .

The proof consists in  $m$ -fold use of Definition 3.

**Theorem 12.**  $(L_1, m_0(K)) \in DPr$  with respect to narrow operators, where  $K = \overline{B}(L_\infty)$ .

**P r o o f.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_1, m_0(K))$  be a narrow operator. We fix an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $f \in S(L_1)$  such that  $\|Tf\| > \|T\| - \varepsilon$ . Without loss of generality, it can be assumed that

$$B = \{s \in K : (Tf)(s) > \|Tf\| - \varepsilon\}$$

is an s.c. set. We consider  $f_0 = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{A_k} \in S(L_1)$  which approximates  $f$ :

$$\|f - f_0\| < \varepsilon. \tag{8}$$

Since  $T$  is narrow, using Lemma 11 we get sequences  $\{A'_{k,m}\}_{m=1}^\infty, \{A''_{k,m}\}_{m=1}^\infty$  such that  $A'_{k,m}, A''_{k,m}$  form a partition of  $A_k$  with  $\lambda(A'_{k,m}) = \frac{1}{2^m} \lambda(A_k)$  and we get functions

$$g_{k,m} = (2^m - 1)\chi_{A'_{k,m}} - \chi_{A''_{k,m}}$$

satisfying  $\|Tg_{k,m}\| < \varepsilon \lambda(A_k)$ ,  $k = \overline{1, n}$ . Put  $g_m = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k g_{k,m}$ . By straightforward checking, we obtain

$$\|f_0 + g_m\| = 1, \tag{9}$$

$$\|Tg_m\| < \varepsilon. \tag{10}$$

For the s.c. set  $B$ , we can find an  $s_0 \in K$  and a weak\*-neighborhood  $U(s_0)$  satisfying the assertion of Lemma 1. Further, note that

$$\lambda(A'_{k,m}) = \frac{1}{2^m} \lambda(A_k) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty;$$

therefore the functions

$$s_m(t) = \begin{cases} \text{sign } a_k, & t \in A'_{k,m}, k = \overline{1, n} \\ s_0(t), & t \notin \cup_{k=1}^n A'_{k,m} \end{cases}$$

weakly\* tend to  $s_0$ . Hence  $s_{m_0} \in U(s_0)$  for some  $m_0$ . It can easily be checked that

$$s_{m_0}(f_0 + g_{m_0}) = 1. \tag{11}$$

By the choice of  $U(s_0)$ , the set

$$A = \{s \in B : |s_{m_0}(f + g_{m_0}) - s(f + g_{m_0})| < \varepsilon,$$

$$\|Q(f + g_{m_0}) + Tf\| \geq |(f + g_{m_0})(s) + (Tf)(s)|\}$$

is non-empty. Fix an  $s \in A$ ; then, by (8) and (11),

$$|1 - s(f + g_{m_0})| < 3\varepsilon.$$

So, by (10),

$$\|Q(f + g_{m_0}) + T(f + g_{m_0})\| \geq |(f + g_{m_0})(s) + (Tf)(s)| - \varepsilon \geq 1 + \|T\| - 6\varepsilon.$$

Finally, by (8) and (9),

$$\|f + g_{m_0}\| \leq \|f - f_0\| + \|f_0 + g_{m_0}\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon,$$

and we obtain

$$(1 + \varepsilon)\|Q + T\| \geq 1 + \|T\| - 6\varepsilon$$

for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ . This completes the proof. ■

**Theorem 13.** *Let  $Y$  be a separable Banach space containing  $L_1$  and  $J : L_1 \mapsto Y$  be the natural embedding. Then  $Y$  can be renormed so that a new norm coincides with the original one on  $L_1$  and  $(L_1, Y) \in DPr$  with respect to narrow operators.*

The proof is the same as the argument of Theorem 8.

## 6. Remarks

- In [4] M. Talagrand proved that the statement of Corollary 10 is not valid for  $L_1$ .
- The renorming theorems and their corollaries carry over the case of reach subspaces of  $C$  and  $L_1$  (see [1] for definitions). It is not hardly to modify all the proofs.

### References

- [1] *V.M. Kadets and M.M. Popov*, The Daugavet property for narrow operators in reach subspaces of  $C[0, 1]$  and  $L_1[0, 1]$ . — St. Petersburg Math. J. (1996), v. 8, No. 4, p. 43–62.
- [2] *J.L. Kelley*, General topology. D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc. Princeton, New Jersey (1957).
- [3] *A.M. Plichko and M.M. Popov*, Symmetric function spaces on atomless probability spaces. — Rozpr. Mat. (1990), v. 306, p. 1–85.
- [4] *M. Talagrand*, The three space problem for  $L_1$ . — J. Amer. Math. Soc. (1990), v. 3, p. 9–29.

### Свойство Даугавета для пар банаховых пространств

В.М. Кадец, Р.В. Швыдкой

Доказывается, что если сепарабельное банахово пространство  $Y$  содержит  $C[0, 1]$ , то  $Y$  может быть перенормировано так, чтобы пара  $(C[0, 1], Y)$  обладала свойством Даугавета по отношению к узким операторам. Аналогичный результат доказан для  $L_1[0, 1]$ .

### Властивість Даугавета для пар банахових просторів

В.М. Кадець, Р.В. Швидкий

Доводиться, що якщо сепарабельний банахів простір  $Y$  містить  $C[0, 1]$ , то  $Y$  може бути перенормовано так, щоб пара  $(C[0, 1], Y)$  мала властивість Даугавета по відношенню до вузьких операторів. Аналогічний результат доведено для  $L_1[0, 1]$ .