

A sharp inequality for the order of the minimal positive harmonic function in T -homogeneous domain

V. Azarin and A. Gol'dberg

Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan, 52900, Israel

E-mail: azarin@macs.biu.ac.il

Received February 2, 2004

Let G be a simply connected domain in \mathbb{C} which is T -homogeneous, i.e., $TG = G$ for some $T > 0$. Let $\rho(G)$ be the order of the minimal positive harmonic function in G . We prove that a kind of symmetrization of G and prove that it does not increase $\rho(G)$. This implies a sharp lower bound for $\rho(G)$ in terms of conformal modulus of a quadrilateral naturally connected with G .

To Iossif Vladimirovich Ostrovskii on the occasion of his 70-th birthday

1. Introduction and main result

A domain $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is called T -homogeneous if $TG := \{Tz : z \in G\} = G$ for some $T > 0$. A circle $C_R := \{|z| = R, R > 0\}$ is called *separating* for G if $C_R \cap G$ is one nonempty arc. Let G be a T -homogeneous, simply connected domain, having the separating circle C_1 . Then every circle C_{T^n} , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, is separating.

Consider the following construction: set $G_n := G \cap \{T^n < |z| < T^{n+1}\}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. They are simply connected. Replace every G_{2k-1} , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, by G_{2k}^* that is symmetric to G_{2k} with respect to the circle $\{|z| = T^{2k}\}$. Thus obtained domain G^S is also T -homogeneous, simply connected and has a separating circle. It is a kind of symmetrization of G .

Let $H(z) \neq 0$ be a positive harmonic function in G , satisfying the condition $H(z_0) = 1$, that is locally bounded and equal to zero at every regular point of the boundary ∂G . We also suppose that H is equal to zero outside \overline{G} . Set $M(r, H) = \sup_{|z|=r} H(z)$.

As it is proved in [1, Theorem 0.5] for every T -homogeneous domain there exists an H of the form

$$H(e^z) = q(z)e^{\rho(G)x}, \quad z = x + iy,$$

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 31A05, 30C75.

where $q(z)$ is bounded.

Thus

$$\rho(G) = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log M(r, H)}{\log r}. \quad (1)$$

It is called *order of minimal positive function H* , but actually it depends only on G .

Let us also note that the property of G to be connected and T -homogeneous, and the value of $\rho(G)$ are preserved under homotheties of G and rotations, i.e., replacing G for $te^{i\phi}G$, $t > 0, \phi \in [0; 2\pi)$. So we have $\rho(te^{i\phi}G) = \rho(G)$. Thus in all the assertions, concerning $\rho(G)$, we can replace the separating circle C_1 by arbitrary circle C_R .

Recall that if a quadrilateral $ABCD$ is conformally mapped onto a rectangle $\{z : 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < M\}$ such that $A \mapsto 0, B \mapsto 1, C \mapsto 1 + iM, D \mapsto iM$ then $\text{mod } ABCD(AB, CD) := M$ is the *conformal modulus* of quadrilateral $ABCD$ with *marked sides AB and CD* .

In [1, Theorem 4.7, Corollary 4.9] the following assertion was proved

Theorem ADP. *Let G be a T -homogeneous domain with a separating circle then*

$$\rho(G^S) = \frac{\pi}{\log T} \quad \text{mod } G_0(J_0, J_1), \quad (2)$$

where $J_0 = G \cap \{|z| = 1\}$, $J_1 = TJ_0$, and $\text{mod } G_0(J_0, J_1)$ is the conformal modulus of quadrilateral G_0 with marked sides J_0, J_1 .

If G is symmetric with respect to a separating circle then G^S can be replaced by G .

We recall the proof of Theorem ADP because we need some details from it.

We are going to prove

Theorem 1. *The following inequality holds*

$$\rho(G) \geq \rho(G^S).$$

Equality is attained on G 's that are symmetric with respect to C_1 .

Theorem ADP and Theorem 1 immediately imply

Corollary. *The following sharp inequality holds*

$$\rho(G) \geq \frac{\pi}{\log T} \quad \text{mod } G_0(J_0, J_1).$$

We are grateful to Prof. I.V. Ostrovskii for very valuable discussion and suggestions.

2. Proofs

Set $P := \log T$ and make the transformation of $\mathbb{C} \setminus 0$ by $z \mapsto \log z$. Since G does not include zero and is simply connected it is possible to select a single-valued branch of logarithm that maps G onto a P -periodic domain D . Then $G_n \mapsto D_n$ such that $D_n \subset \{nP < \Re z < (n+1)P\}$ and $G_{2k}^* \mapsto D_{2k}^*$, where D_{2k}^* is symmetric to D_{2k} with respect to $\{\Re z = 2kP\}$. Thus the image of G^S is the domain D^S which is obtained from D by replacing D_{2k-1} for D_{2k}^* .

P r o o f o f T h e o r e m A D P. Let $f^S(z)$ be a function that maps conformally the quadrilateral D_0 with marked sides $I_0 := D \cap \{\Re z = 0\}$ and $I_1 := D \cap \{\Re z = P\}$ to the rectangle $R = (0, c) \times (0, \pi)$ such that the marked sides are mapped to the vertical sides of the rectangle. Then $c = \pi \bmod D_0(I_0, I_1) = \pi \bmod G_0(J_0, J_1)$.

The function f^S can be continued analytically to D_0^* and further to the D^S such that it maps D^S onto the strip $\{0 < \Im z < \pi\}$. Since D^S is $2P$ -periodic, we have $f^S(z + 2P) = f^S(z) + 2c$, and

$$\Re f^S(z + 2P) = \Re f^S(z) + 2c. \tag{3}$$

Now consider the harmonic function

$$H(z) = \Im e^{f^S(\log z)} = e^{\Re f^S(\log z)} \sin \Im f^S(\log z). \tag{4}$$

This function is positive within G and is equal to zero at every regular point of boundary. It has a finite order. Actually, from (3) we have

$$\Re f^S(z + 2Pn) \leq C + 2cn \tag{5}$$

and $C := \sup_{z \in D_0} |\Re f^S(z)| < \infty$, and we can replace n for $\Re z / 2P$ by maximum principle. Thus

$$M(r, H) \leq C_1 r^\alpha,$$

where C_1 and α can be expressed in terms of C and c . Using (3), (4) and (1) for $r = e^{2nP}$, we obtain (2). ■

For the proof of Theorem 1 we need the following assertion (lemma of Grötzsch) (see [2, p. 142], also see [3, Ch. 2, §D, Th. 4])

Lemma G. *Let a quadrilateral $ABCD$ with the marked sides AB and CD be divided by a curve $EF \subset ABCD$, $E \in BC$, $F \in AD$ onto two quadrilaterals $ABEF$ with the marked sides AB and EF , and $FECD$ with the marked sides EF and CD . Then*

$$\text{mod } ABEF + \text{mod } FECD \leq \text{mod } ABCD. \tag{6}$$

When $ABCD$ is a rectangle the equality in (6) holds iff $ABEF$ and $FECD$ are rectangles.

One can see that if EF is an arc of a circle or a segment of a line and $ABEF$ and $FECD$ are symmetric with respect EF then $\text{mod } ABEF = \text{mod } FECD$ and equality holds in (6).

We will also use [1, Prop. 4.10] that can be reformulated in the following form:

Theorem P. *Let D be a domain corresponding to G by the mapping $z \mapsto \log z$. Set $D^{2n} := D \cap \{0 < \Re z < 2Pn\}$. Then following holds*

$$\rho(G) = \frac{\pi}{P} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{mod } D^{2n}(I_0, I_{2n})}{2n}.$$

We give the proof for the sake of completeness.

P r o o f. We use the following assertion of A. Eremenko (see [4, Remark 3], see also [5, Lemma 6.4] that can be formulated in the same way).

Theorem E. *Let $f(z)$ conformally map D onto the strip $\{0 < \Im w < \pi\}$ such that $0 \mapsto -\infty$ and $\infty \mapsto +\infty$. Then*

$$f(z + P) = f(z) + c_1, \tag{7}$$

where $c_1 > 0$.

Set

$$J_k := f(I_k), \quad m_k := \min_{J_k} \Re \zeta, \quad M_k := \max_{J_k} \Re \zeta.$$

The numbers m_k, M_k depend on k in following way

$$m_k = m_0 + kc_1, \quad M_k = M_0 + kc_1, \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \dots$$

because of (7).

Denote by

$$R_{a,b} := \{\zeta : 0 < \Im \zeta < \pi, \quad a < \Re \zeta < b\}$$

a rectangle. Since

$$R_{m_0, M_{2n}} \subset f(D^{2n}) \subset R_{M_0, m_{2n}}$$

we obtain

$$2nc_1 + m_0 - M_0 < \pi \quad \text{mod } D^{2n}(I_0, I_{2n}) < 2nc_1 + M_0 - m_0.$$

From this we obtain the equality

$$c_1 = \pi \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{mod } D^{2n}(I_0, I_{2n})}{2n}.$$

Using arguments from the proof of Theorem ADP with (7) instead of (3), we obtain that $c_1 = P\rho(G)$. This completes the proof of Theorem P. ■

P r o o f o f T h e o r e m 1. We apply Lemma G to the quadrilateral $D^2 := D \cap \{-P < \Re z < P\}$ with the naturally marked sides and obtain that

$$\text{mod } D_{-1}(I_{-1}, I_0) + \text{mod } D_1(I_0, I_1) \leq \text{mod } D^2(I_{-1}, I_1).$$

Obviously $\text{mod } D_{-1}(I_{-1}, I_0) = \text{mod } D_1(I_0, I_1)$. Thus

$$2\mu := \text{mod } D^2(I_{-1}, I_1) \geq 2 \cdot \text{mod } D_1(I_0, I_1) =: 2M.$$

By the same Lemma G, used repeatedly, we obtain the inequality

$$\text{mod } D^{2n}(I_0, I_{2n}) \geq 2n\mu \geq 2nM.$$

Thus from Theorems ADP and P we obtain the inequality of Theorem 1. It is clear that the equality is attained iff $\mu = M$, i.e., when D_0 is symmetric to D_{-1} and hence D is symmetric with respect to I_0 . ■

References

- [1] *V. Azarin, D. Drasin, and P. Poggi-Corradini*, A generalization of trigonometric convexity and its relation to positive harmonic functions in homogeneous domains. — *J. d'Anal. Math.* (To appear)
- [2] *L.I. Volkovyskii*, Quasiconformal mappings. L'vov (1954). (Russian: Kvazikonformnye otobrazheniya)
- [3] *L. Ahlfors*, Lectures on quasiconformal mappings. D. van Nostrand Co. Inc., Princeton, New Jersey (1966).
- [4] *A.A. Gol'dberg*, Estimates of conformal maps of curvilinear strips. — *Mat. Fiz., Anal., Geom.* (2002), v. 9, No. 1, p. 1–4.
- [5] *P. Poggi-Corradini*, The Hardy class of geometric models and the essential spectral radius of composition operators. — *J. Funct. Anal.* (1997), v. 143, p. 129–156.