

A representation of isometries on function spaces

Mikhail G. Zaidenberg

*Université de Grenoble I, Institut Fourier, Laboratoire de Mathématiques,
associé au CNRS (URA 188), B.P. 74, 38402 ST MARTIN D'HÈRES Cedex, France*
E-mail: mikhail.zaidenberg@ijf-grenoble.fr

Received December 18, 1996

The main result states that every surjective isometry between two ideal Banach lattices of measurable functions which satisfy certain conditions, can be represented as composition of an operator of measurable change of variable and an operator of multiplication by a measurable function.

Introduction

This paper contains a proof of a theorem previously announced in [Za1] and of its generalization to a class of ideal spaces. Namely, we prove the validity of a weighted shift representation of the surjective isometries between Banach function spaces which satisfy some minor restrictions. This class includes at least all rearrangement-invariant (r.i.) spaces. Our proof follows Lumer's scheme [Lu] and uses some ideas due to A. Pelczynski (see [Ro]; cf. also [BrSe] and [SkZa1, 2]). Recall that in [Za1] all the spaces are considered over the field \mathbf{C} of complex numbers.

Due to a recent revival of interest in the isometric theory of Banach function spaces, I have been asked several times during the last few years by my colleagues working on the subject about the proofs of the results announced in [Za1]. As a matter of fact, the proofs of Theorem 1 and Proposition 1 from [Za1] were published in [Za2], a Russian journal with a rather limited circulation. An English translation of that journal though prepared has never appeared due to some circumstances. Nevertheless, a translation of my article [Za2] was circulating among a small number of experts (see, e.g., references in [KaRa2]). In order to satisfy numerous requests of my colleagues I am reproducing here this translation, certainly updating and modifying it.

Let me briefly mention some further development. A generalization of Theorem 1 in [Za1] to the real case was obtained in [KaRa1, 2]. Papers [KaRa1, 2]

also contain a new proof of Theorem 4 in [Za1], which yields a characterization of the L_p -spaces as r.i. spaces with non-standard isometry groups (my original proof of this theorem covered both the real and complex cases but it was never published). Certain corollaries of the main results of [Za1] are extended to the real case by different methods in [AbZa]. New proofs of the remaining statements from [Za1], as well as some generalizations, can be found in [PKL], [Ra1, 2]. See also the survey article [FlJe] for additional information.

Hermitian operators

A Banach space E of measurable functions on a measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) is called *an ideal space* if $f \in E$, $|g| \leq |f|$ and $g \in L^0(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ imply that $g \in E$ and $\|g\| \leq \|f\|$.

If additionally, the equimeasurability of functions $|f|$ and $|g|$, where $f \in E$ and $g \in L^0$, implies that $g \in E$ and $\|g\| = \|f\|$, then the space E is called *symmetric* or *rearrangement-invariant* (see, e.g., [KPS, Ch. II], [LT]).

Set $\Sigma_0 = \{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid \mu(\sigma) < \infty\}$. We will always assume that the characteristic function χ_σ of every set $\sigma \in \Sigma_0$ belongs to E . Let ρ_σ be the projector $\rho_\sigma(x) = \chi_\sigma x$, $x \in E$. The image $\rho_\sigma E$ is called *a band*, or *a component* of the space E .

Definition 1. *An ideal space E is called projection provided, if for each finite collection $\bar{\omega} = \{\omega_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of disjoint sets $\omega_i \in \Sigma_0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, there exists a projector $\rho_{\bar{\omega}}^c$ of norm 1 of E onto the subspace of step-functions*

$$E^n(\bar{\omega}) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \chi_{\omega_i}, c_i \in \mathbf{C}, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

which commutes with the projectors ρ_{ω_i} , $i = 1, \dots, n$. ■

Any symmetric space is projection provided; we can take for $\rho_{\bar{\omega}}^c$ Haar's projector of the conditional expectation ([SMB, p. 95; KPS, II.2]).

Definition 2. *We will say that the space E is free from L_2 -components if for each component the norm of E does not coincide with the norm of $L_2(\nu)$, where ν is a positive measure on Σ .*

An operator H on E is called Hermitian if $\|e^{irH}\| = 1, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. The set of Hermitian operators is denoted as $\text{Herm}(E)$. By $LM_\infty^r(E)$ we denote the subset of the operators of multiplication by bounded real functions. ■

For simplicity, we assume in the paper that Ω is $[0, 1]$ or a line with the Lebesgue measure μ .

Proposition 1. *Let E be a projection provided Banach ideal space. If E is free from L_2 -components, then $\text{Herm}(E) = LM_\infty^r(E)$.*

P r o o f. It is enough to prove that any Hermitian operator H on E holds the following property:

$$\chi_{\Omega \setminus \omega} \cdot H \chi_\omega = 0, \quad \forall \omega \in \Sigma_0. \quad (1)$$

Indeed, if (1) is true, then

$$\chi_{\omega_1} \cdot H \chi_{\omega_2} = \chi_{\omega_2} \cdot H \chi_{\omega_1} (= H \chi_{\omega_1 \cap \omega_2}), \quad \forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Sigma_0. \quad (2)$$

So, the equality

$$\chi_\omega h = H \chi_\omega, \quad \omega \in \Sigma_0 \quad (3)$$

determines a measurable function h on Ω such that

$$e^{itH}(\chi_\omega) = \chi_\omega e^{ith}. \quad (4)$$

By Theorem 2 of [Za3], (4) implies that

$$e^{itH}(f) = e^{ith} \cdot f, \quad \forall f \in E, \quad (5)$$

and therefore

$$H(f) = h \cdot f, \quad \forall f \in E, \quad (6)$$

and $\text{Im} h = 0$, $\|H\| = \|h\|_{L_\infty}$ [Lu, Lemma 7].

Suppose that there exists an operator $H_0 \in \text{Herm}(E)$ which does not satisfy (1), that is, $\chi_{\Omega \setminus \omega_0} H_0 \chi_{\omega_0} \neq 0$ for some $\omega_0 \in \Sigma_0$. Choose disjoint sets $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \in \Sigma_0$ ($\omega_i \cap \omega_j = \emptyset$, $i \neq j$, $i, j = 0, \dots, n$) such that

$$|\chi_{\omega_i} H_0 \chi_{\omega_0} - \lambda_i \chi_{\omega_i}| < |\lambda_i|, \quad (7)$$

where $\lambda_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Set $\bar{\omega} = \{\omega_i\}_{i=0}^n$. Due to Lumer's Lemma [Lu, Lemma 8], the operator $H_0^{\bar{\omega}}$ determined by the equality

$$H_0^{\bar{\omega}} = \rho_{\bar{\omega}}^c \cdot H_0 \rho_{\bar{\omega}}^c$$

is a Hermitian operator on the subspace $E^{n+1}(\bar{\omega})$. Since E is an ideal space, $\|\rho_{\bar{\omega}}^c\| = 1$, and $\rho_{\bar{\omega}}^c(\chi_{\omega_i} x) = \chi_{\omega_i} \rho_{\bar{\omega}}^c(x)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, it follows from (7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_{\omega_i} H_0^{\bar{\omega}} \chi_{\omega_0} - \lambda_i \chi_{\omega_i}\| &= \|\rho_{\bar{\omega}}^c(\chi_{\omega_i} H_0 \chi_{\omega_0} - \lambda_i \chi_{\omega_i})\| \\ &\leq \|\chi_{\omega_i} H_0 \chi_{\omega_0} - \lambda_i \chi_{\omega_i}\| \\ &< |\lambda_i| \cdot \|\chi_{\omega_i}\|, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

and whence

$$\chi_{\omega_i} H_0^{\bar{\omega}} \chi_{\omega_0} \neq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (8)$$

Next we show that the subspace $E_{\bar{\omega}}^{n+1}$ is Euclidean, and

$$\left\| \sum_{i=0}^n c_i \chi_{\omega_i} \right\|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^n |c_i|^2 \|\chi_{\omega_i}\|^2. \quad (9)$$

To this point we use the following lemma*.

Lemma 1. *Let E^{n+1} be an ideal Minkowski space** over \mathbf{C} , $\{e_i\}_0^n$ be the standard basis in E^{n+1} , and H be a Hermitian operator on E^{n+1} such that*

$$(He_0, e_k) \neq 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (8')$$

Then E^{n+1} is a Euclidean space, and

$$\left\| \sum_{i=0}^n c_i e_i \right\|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^n |c_i|^2 \|e_i\|^2. \quad (9')$$

P r o o f. Let G_0 be the connected component of unity in the isometry group $\text{Iso}(E^{n+1})$, and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a G_0 -invariant scalar product such that $\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle = 1$. The orbit $G_0 e_0$ is a connected G_0 -invariant submanifold in E^{n+1} , which is contained in the intersection of the Minkowski sphere $S(E^{n+1})$ and the Euclidean sphere S^{2n+1} (indeed, $G_0 \subset U(n)$ by our choice of scalar product). The tangent space T to the orbit $G_0 e_0$ at e_0 is invariant with respect to the stationary subgroup $G_0^{e_0}$ of e_0 . Note that the operator $t_k(\varphi)$ of the rotation of k -th coordinate on angle φ (i.e., $t_k(\varphi)e_k = e^{i\varphi}e_k$, $t_k(\varphi)e_i = e_i$, $i \neq k$) belongs to the subgroup $G_0^{e_0}$ and, therefore, $t_k(\varphi)T = T$. The latter is possible either if the k -th coordinate of any vector of T is equal to zero, or if $e_k \in T$ and $ie_k \in T$. Since the tangent vector iHe_0 to the curve $e^{itH}(e_0) \subset G_0 e_0$ belongs to the subspace T , in view of (8'), the k -th coordinate of this vector is non-zero. Therefore $e_k \in T$ and $ie_k \in T$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$). Besides that, the subspace T contains vector ie_0 tangent to the curve $e^{itH}(e_0) \subset G_0 e_0$. Thus the real dimension of the subspace T and hence also of the orbit $G_0 e_0$ is equal to $2n + 1$. Since $G_0 e_0$ is a compact connected manifold, we have

$$G_0 e_0 = S(E^{n+1}) = S^{2n+1}, \quad (10)$$

which proves that E^{n+1} is Euclidean.

*Similar statements can be found, for instance, in [KaWo] (the complex case), [SkZa1, 2] (the real case); see also the bibliography therein.

**I.e., a finite dimensional Banach space.

Because eigen-vectors e_k and e_l ($l \neq k$) of the operator $t_k(\pi) \in G_0 \subset U(n)$ are orthogonal, $\{e_k\}_0^n$ is an orthogonal basis in E^{n+1} . This implies (9'). The lemma is proved.

Returning to the proof of Proposition 1, set $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \omega_i$, and let $E^b(S)$ be the closure in $\rho_S E$ of the set of finite-valued (step) functions. Passing to the limit over subpartitions, we can prove that $E^b(S)$ is a Hilbert space. In particular, it is reflexive: $E^b(S) = (E^b(S))''$. Therefore the norm on $E^b(S)$ is absolutely continuous ([Lux; Zab, Theorem 30; KPS, II.3]), i.e., $\|\chi_\sigma\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\mu(\sigma) \rightarrow 0$. Hence $E^b(S) = \rho_S E$. Absolute continuity of the norm allows to get the equality

$$\|\chi_\sigma\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\chi_{\sigma_i}\|^2, \tag{11}$$

where $\sigma_i, \sigma \in \Sigma(S) = \Sigma \cap S, \sigma_i \cap \sigma_j = \emptyset, i \neq j, \sigma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_i$.

Let $\nu(\sigma) = \|\chi_\sigma\|^2$. Due to (11), ν is a positive (absolutely continuous with respect to μ) σ -additive measure on the algebra $\Sigma(S)$. Previous arguments show that $\rho_S E = L_2(\nu)$, which contradicts to our assumption. The proposition is proved.

Proposition 2. *Let E be a symmetric space such that the norm on E is not proportional to the norm of the space $L_2(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$. Then $\text{Herm}(E) = LM_\infty^r(E)$.*

The proof is quite similar to that of Proposition 1. A partition $\bar{\omega}$ is chosen in a way that provides (8) just for $i = 1$, but we should require, besides that, that $\mu(\omega_i) = \mu(\omega_1), i = 2, \dots, n$. Using Lemma IX.8.4 of [Ro] instead of Lemma 1, we obtain the equality

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \chi_{\omega_i} \right\|^2 = \|\chi_{\omega_1}\|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n |c_i|^2. \tag{9''}$$

Passing to the limit over partitions we see that $\|\cdot\|_E = k \|\cdot\|_{L_2(\mu)}$ on any component $\rho_s E, s \in \Sigma_0$, where $k = \varphi_E(1)$ (here φ_E denotes the fundamental function of the symmetric space E , i.e., $\varphi_E(t) = \|\chi_\sigma\|$, where $\mu(\sigma) = t$). This yields a contradiction and completes the proof.

The main theorem

Theorem 1. (a) *Let $E_i = E_i(\Omega_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) be two projection provided ideal Banach spaces, free from L_2 -components. Then for any isometric isomorphism $Q : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ there exists a measurable function q and an invertible measurable transformation $\varphi : \Omega_2 \rightarrow \Omega_1$ such that*

$$(Qf)(t) = q(t)f(\varphi(t)), \quad \forall f \in E_1. \tag{12}$$

(b) The same conclusion is true providing that E_i ($i = 1, 2$) are symmetric spaces and the norm of E_1 is not proportional to the norm of $L_2(\mu)$.

P r o o f. As it was proved in [Se], the norm of a Hilbert symmetric space is proportional to the standard L_2 -norm. This also follows from Proposition 2 above; indeed, the set $\text{Herm}(E)$ of hermitian operators in a Hilbert space E does not form a commutative subalgebra. Therefore, under the conditions in (b), the norm of E_2 cannot be proportional to the norm of $L_2(\mu)$, too. By Propositions 1 and 2, in both cases (a) and (b) we have $\text{Herm}(E_i) = LM_\infty^r(E_i)$, $i = 1, 2$.

Consider the mapping $Q_* : \text{Herm}(E_1) \rightarrow \text{Herm}(E_2)$, $Q_*(H) = QHQ^{-1}$. It is easily seen that Q_* is an algebraic isomorphism. It generates an isomorphism of Boolean algebras $\theta_* : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$ such that $Q_*(\chi_\sigma) = \chi_{\theta_*\sigma}$, $\sigma \in \Sigma_1$. Define a measurable transformation $\varphi : \Omega_2 \rightarrow \Omega_1$ by the equalities

$$\chi_{\theta_*\sigma}\varphi = Q_*(t\chi_\sigma), \quad \sigma \in (\Sigma_1)_0. \quad (13)$$

Obviously,

$$Q_*f = f(\varphi) \quad \forall f \in L_\infty^r(\Omega_1), \quad \chi_{\theta_*\sigma} = \chi_\sigma(\varphi), \quad \sigma \in \Sigma_1. \quad (14)$$

The equation (14) and the definition of Q_* imply:

$$Q(\chi_{\omega'}\chi_{\omega''}) = \chi_{\omega'}(\varphi)Q(\chi_{\omega''}), \quad \omega' \in \Sigma_1, \quad \omega'' \in (\Sigma_1)_0. \quad (15)$$

In view of (15) there exists a unique measurable function q on Ω_2 such that

$$Q(\chi_\sigma) = q\chi_\sigma(\varphi), \quad \sigma \in (\Sigma_1)_0. \quad (16)$$

By Theorem 2 of [Za3], (16) implies (12). Since the operator Q is invertible, the transformation φ is also invertible. The theorem is proved.

C o m m e n t s.

1. The theorem is true for more general measure spaces. In particular, the proof did not use continuity of the measure.

2. To prove Theorem 1 in the real case, it seems being impossible simply to pass to the complexifications. Indeed, in general there is no universal definition of a norm in the complexification of an ideal function space that would be at the same time ideal and hold the property of "extension of isometries", i.e., such that all of them extend to isometries of the complexification. The simplest example of such situation is the Minkowski plane E^2 , where the unit sphere is a regular octagon. This symmetric space has an extra isometry, namely the rotation on angle $\pi/4$ *. It is proved in [BrSe] that extra isometries of non-hilbertian

*This example was also noted by Yu. Sokolovski.

real symmetric sequence spaces could exist in dimensions 2 and 4 only. Due to Theorem 3 from [Ta], any complex symmetric sequence space different from l_2 admits just standard isometries, i.e., permutations and rotations of coordinates.

3. It would be interesting to extend Theorem 1 to more general classes of Banach lattices; for instance, to describe the class of Banach lattices in which any invertible isometry is disjoint, i.e., maps disjoint elements again to disjoint ones.

References

- [AbZa] *Yu. Abramovich and M. Zaidenberg*, A rearrangement invariant space isometric to L_p coincides with L_p . In: Interaction between functional analysis, harmonic analysis, and probability. N. Kalton and E. Saab (Eds.), Marcel Dekker, New York. — Lect. Notes in Pure Appl. Math. (1995), v. 175, p. 13–18.
- [BrSe] *M.Sh. Braverman and E.M. Semenov*, Isometries of symmetric spaces. — Soviet Math. Dokl. (1974), v. 15, p. 1027–1030.
- [FlJe] *R.J. Fleming and J.E. Jamison*, Isometries on Banach spaces – a survey. Preprint (1994), p. 1–48.
- [KaRa1] *N.J. Kalton and B. Randrianantoanina*, Isometries on rearrangement invariant spaces. — C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris (1993), v. 316, p. 351–355.
- [KaRa2] *N.J. Kalton and B. Randrianantoanina*, Surjective isometries on rearrangement invariant spaces. — Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), (1994), v. 45, p. 301–327.
- [KaWo] *N.J. Kalton and G.W. Wood*, Orthonormal systems in Banach spaces and their applications. — Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. (1976), v. 79, p. 493–510.
- [KPS] *S.G. Krein, Y.I. Petunin, and E.M. Semenov*, Interpolation of linear operators, Providence, RI (1982).
- [PKL] *Pei-Kee Lin*, Maximality of rearrangement invariant spaces. — Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. (1996), v. 44, No. 4, p. 381–390.
- [LT] *J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri*, Classical Banach spaces II, Function spaces. Springer–Verlag, Berlin–New York (1979).
- [Lu] *G. Lumer*, On the isometries of reflexive Orlicz spaces. — Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble (1963), v. 13, p. 99–109.
- [Lux] *W.A. Luxemburg*, Banach function spaces. Van Nostrand and C. Assen (1955).
- [Ra1] *B. Randrianantoanina*, Isometric classification of norms in rearrangement-invariant function spaces. — Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae (1997), v. 38, p. 73–90. (See also <ftp://ftp.math.okstate.edu/pub.banach/brandriisomcls.ltx>)
- [Ra2] *B. Randrianantoanina*, Isometries of Hilbert space valued function spaces. — J. Australian Math. Soc. Ser. A (1996), v. 61, p. 150–161. (See also <ftp://ftp.math.okstate.edu/pub.banach/brandriisoxh.ltx>)

- [Ro] *S. Rolewicz*, Metric linear spaces. Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa (1972).
- [Se] *E.M. Semenov*, Interpolation of linear operators in symmetric spaces: Doct. diss. — Voronezh (1968) (in Russian).
- [SMB] *Functional analysis*, S.G. Krein (Ed.), Nauka, Moscow (1972) (in Russian).
- [SkZa1] *A.I. Skorik and M.G. Zaidenberg*, Groups of isometries containing reflexions. — *Func. Anal. Appl.* (1976), v. 10, p. 322–323.
- [SkZa2] *A.I. Skorik and M.G. Zaidenberg*, On isometric reflections in Banach spaces. — *Math. Physics, Analysis, Geometry* (1997), v. 4, No. 1/2, p. 212–247.
(See also <ftp://ftp.math.okstate.edu/pub.banach/skorikzaidenbergisoref.ltx>)
- [Ta] *K.W. Tam*, Isometries of certain function spaces. — *Pacific J. Math.* (1969), v. 31, p. 233–246.
- [To] *G. Tomšič*, Skew-symmetric operators on real Banach spaces. — *Glasnik Mat.* (1977), v. 12, p. 93–97.
- [Zab] *P.P. Zabreiko*, Ideal function spaces. — *Vestnik of the University of Yaroslavl'* (1974), v. 8, p. 12–52 (in Russian).
- [Za1] *M.G. Zaidenberg*, On the isometric classification of symmetric spaces. — *Soviet Math. Dokl.* (1977), v. 18, p. 636–640.
- [Za2] *M.G. Zaidenberg*, Special representations of isometries of function spaces. In: *Investigations on the theory of functions of several real variables*, Yu.A. Brudnyi (Ed.), Yaroslavl' (1980), p. 84–91 (in Russian).
- [Za3] *M.G. Zaidenberg*, Groups of isometries of Orlich spaces. — *Soviet Math. Dokl.* (1976), v. 17, p. 432–436.
- [Za4] *M.G. Zaidenberg*, A representation of isometries of functional spaces. Preprint, Institut Fourier, Laboratoire de Mathématiques, 305, Grenoble (1995), p. 1–7.
(See also <ftp://ftp.math.okstate.edu/pub.banach/zaidenbergisomfunc.tex>)

Представление изометрий функциональных пространств

М. Зайденберг

Главный результат утверждает, что каждая обратимая изометрия между двумя идеальными банаховыми решетками измеримых функций, удовлетворяющих дополнительным ограничениям, может быть представлена как композиция оператора измеримой замены переменной и оператора умножения на измеримую функцию.

Зображення ізометрій функціональних просторів

М. Зайденберг

Головний результат стверджує, що кожна оборотна ізометрія між двома ідеальними банаховими ґратами вимірних функцій, які задовольняють додатковим обмеженням, може бути представлена як композиція оператора вимірної заміни змінної та оператора множення на вимірну функцію.