

## On the Poisson representation of a function harmonic in the upper half-plane

Seçil Gergün

*Department of Mathematics, Bilkent University*  
*06533 Bilkent, Ankara, Turkey*  
E-mail:gergun@fen.bilkent.edu.tr

I.V. Ostrovskii

*Department of Mathematics, Bilkent University*  
*06533 Bilkent, Ankara, Turkey*  
E-mail:iossif@fen.bilkent.edu.tr

*Mathematics Division, B. Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering*  
*National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine*  
*47 Lenin Ave., Kharkov, 61103, Ukraine*  
E-mail:ostrovskii@ilt.kharkov.ua

Received November 21, 2001

New conditions of validity of the Poisson representation (in usual and generalized form) for a function harmonic in the upper half-plane are obtained. These conditions differ from known ones by weaker growth restrictions inside the half-plane and stronger restrictions on the behavior in the neighbourhood of the real axis.

*Dedicated to centennial of Naum Il'ich Akhiezer*

In the theory of entire and subharmonic functions the following Poisson representation of a real-valued harmonic function  $u$  in  $\mathbf{C}_+ := \{z : \text{Im}z > 0\}$  is very important:

$$u(z) = \frac{y}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\nu(t)}{(x-t)^2 + y^2} + cy, \quad z = x + iy, \quad y > 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $c$  is a real constant and  $\nu$  is a real-valued  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure on  $\mathbf{R}$  such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d|\nu|(t)}{1+t^2} dt < \infty.$$

---

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 31A05, 31A10.

We mention applications to the theory of integral transforms [1, Ch. 4]; the entire functions theory [7, Ch. 5]; [8, Part II]; [5, Ch. 3]; the theory of  $H_p$  spaces [6, Ch. 6].

It is well-known (see, e.g., [8, p. 100]; [5, p. 39]; [6, p. 107]) that the necessary and sufficient condition for (1) to be true is representability of  $u$  in the form  $u = u_1 - u_2$  where  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are non-negative harmonic functions in  $\mathbf{C}_+$ . Nevertheless, for several applications (see, e.g., [7, Ch. 5]; [8, Part II]; [5, Ch. 3]), conditions that can be expressed in terms of *growth* of  $u$  are more useful. For functions  $u$  continuous in the closure  $\overline{\mathbf{C}}_+$  of  $\mathbf{C}_+$  the strongest version of conditions of this kind is contained in the following result of R. Nevanlinna [9]:

**Theorem A.** ([9]) *Let  $u$  be a real-valued function harmonic in  $\mathbf{C}_+$ , continuous in  $\overline{\mathbf{C}}_+$  and satisfying the conditions:*

(i) *there exists a sequence  $\{r_k\}$ ,  $r_k \rightarrow \infty$ , such that*

$$\int_0^\pi u^+(re^{i\theta}) \sin \theta d\theta = O(r), \quad r = r_k \rightarrow \infty; \quad (2)$$

(ii)

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{u^+(t)}{1+t^2} dt < \infty. \quad (3)$$

*Then  $u$  admits representation (1) with  $d\nu(t) = u(t)dt$ .*

In [2] and [3], different conditions of validity of representation (1) have been found:

**Theorem B.** ([2, 3]) *Let  $u$  be a real-valued function harmonic in  $\mathbf{C}_+$  and satisfy*

(i) *there exists a sequence  $\{r_k\}$ ,  $r_k \rightarrow \infty$ , such that*

$$\int_0^\pi u^+(re^{i\theta}) \sin \theta d\theta \leq \exp(o(r)), \quad r = r_k \rightarrow \infty; \quad (4)$$

(ii) *there exists  $H > 0$  such that*

$$\sup_{0 < s < H} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{|u(t + is)|}{1+t^2} dt < \infty. \quad (5)$$

*Then (1) holds. If  $u$  is continuous in  $\overline{\mathbf{C}}_+$  then  $d\nu(t) = u(t)dt$ .*

Comparing conditions of theorems A and B, we see that (2) is more restrictive than (4) whereas (3) is less restrictive than (5). It should also be mentioned that continuity of  $u$  in  $\overline{\mathbf{C}}_+$  is not assumed in Theorem B. Assumptions (4) and (5) in Theorem B are sharp in the following sense. Example  $u(z) = \operatorname{Re}\{\cos z\}$  shows that “o” cannot be replaced by “O” in (4). Moreover, (5) cannot be replaced by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|u(t+iH)|}{1+t^2} dt < \infty,$$

for some  $H > 0$ , as the example  $u(z) = \operatorname{Im}\{(z-iH)^{2n}\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , shows. It is also worth to mention that  $|u(t+is)|$  cannot be replaced with  $u^+(t+is)$  in (5), as the example  $u(z) = -\operatorname{Re}\{z^{2n}\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , shows.

Present work is devoted to conditions of the validity of more general representations including (1) as a special case. Further we assume that all harmonic functions and Borel measures are real-valued.

This representation has the form

$$u(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_q(z, t) d\nu(t) + \operatorname{Im}P(z), \tag{6}$$

where

$$P_q(z, t) = \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{(1+tz)^q}{(t-z)(1+t^2)^q} \right\}, \quad q \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\},$$

$\nu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure on  $\mathbf{R}$  satisfying

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d|\nu|(t)}{1+|t|^{q+1}} < \infty,$$

and  $P$  is a real polynomial of degree at most  $q$ .

For  $u$  harmonic in  $\mathbf{C}_+$ , continuous in  $\overline{\mathbf{C}}_+$  and satisfying conditions

$$\int_0^\pi u^+(re^{i\theta}) \sin \theta d\theta = O(r^q), \quad r \rightarrow \infty;$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{u^+(t)}{1+|t|^{q+1}} dt < \infty, \tag{7}$$

representation (6) (with  $d\nu(t) = u(t)dt$ ) belongs to R. Nevanlinna [9]. Without the continuity assumption on  $u$  in  $\overline{\mathbf{C}}_+$  and under the growth condition

$$\max_{0 < \theta < \pi} u^+(re^{i\theta}) = O(r^\alpha), \quad \alpha < q, \quad (8)$$

it belongs to N.V. Govorov [4, p. 25].

Our first result is the following:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $u$  be a function harmonic in  $\mathbf{C}_+$ , satisfying condition (4) of Theorem B and the following condition:  
there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that*

$$\liminf_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|u(t + is)|}{1 + |t|^\alpha} dt < \infty. \quad (9)$$

*Then  $u$  admits representation (6), where  $q = \max\{n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\} : n < \alpha\}$ ,  $\nu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure on  $\mathbf{R}$  satisfying*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d|\nu|(t)}{1 + |t|^\alpha} < \infty,$$

*and  $P$  is a real polynomial of degree at most  $q$ .*

Note that Nevanlinna's [9] and Govorov's [4] results, mentioned above, are not contained in Theorem 1 because condition (9) is more restrictive than both (7) and (8).

It is easy to see that Theorem B is contained in Theorem 1: if  $\alpha = 2$  then  $q = 1$ ,

$$P_1(z, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{(x - t)^2 + y^2}, \quad z = x + iy,$$

condition (9) with  $\alpha = 2$  is less restrictive than (5).

Our next result is related to the question: "Can we replace (9) with a condition requiring convergence of the integrals in (9) only over two horizontal lines?" In some sense, the answer is affirmative. To formulate our result we need:

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $u(z)$  be a function harmonic in  $\mathbf{C}_+$  and satisfying the condition*

$$\exists H > 0, \forall R > 0, \sup_{0 < y < H} \int_{-R}^R |u(x + iy)| dx < \infty. \quad (10)$$

Then there exists a Borel measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  such that for all  $R > 0$  it satisfies  $|\nu|([-R, R]) < \infty$ , and the function

$$u(z) = \int_{-R}^R P_q(z, t) d\nu(t), \quad q \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\},$$

is harmonic in  $\mathbf{C}_+$ , continuous in  $\mathbf{C}_+ \cup (-R, R)$  and vanishes on  $(-R, R)$ .

Our second result is the following:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $u$  be a function harmonic in  $\mathbf{C}_+$  satisfying condition (10) of Lemma 1 and (4) of Theorem B. Assume additionally that  $u$  satisfies the following condition:

there exist  $H > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|u(t + iH)|}{1 + |t|^\alpha} dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d|\nu|(t)}{1 + |t|^\alpha} < \infty,$$

where  $\nu$  is the  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure defined in Lemma 1. Then  $u$  admits representation (6), where  $q$ ,  $\nu$  and  $P$  are as in Theorem 1.

The following corollary to Theorem 2 is immediate.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $u$  be a function harmonic in  $\mathbf{C}_+$ , continuous in  $\overline{\mathbf{C}}_+$  and satisfying (4) of Theorem B. Suppose there exist  $H > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|u(t)| + |u(t + iH)|}{1 + |t|^\alpha} dt < \infty.$$

Then  $u$  admits representation (6) with  $d\nu(t) = u(t)dt$ .

Remind that, for  $\alpha = 2$ , representation (6) reduces to (1).

### References

- [1] *N.I. Akhiezer*, Lectures on integral transformations. KhGU, Kharkov (1984). (Russian)
- [2] *S. Gergün, I.V. Ostrovskii, and A. Ulanovskii*, On the Titchmarsh convolution theorem. — *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I* (2000), v. 331, p. 41–46.
- [3] *S. Gergün, I.V. Ostrovskii, and A. Ulanovskii*, On the Titchmarsh convolution theorem. — *Ark. Mat.* (2002), v. 40, p. 55–71.

- [4] *N.V. Govorov*, Riemann boundary problem with infinite index. Nauka, Moscow (1986). (Russian)
- [5] *P. Koosis*, The logarithmic integral, I. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998).
- [6] *P. Koosis*, Introduction to  $H_p$  spaces. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998).
- [7] *B.Ya. Levin*, Distribution of zeros of entire functions. GITTL, Moscow (1956). (Russian)
- [8] *B.Ya. Levin*, Lectures on entire functions. AMS, Providence, RI (1996).
- [9] *R. Nevanlinna*, Über die Eigenschaften meromorpher Funktionen in einem Winkelraum. — *Acta Soc. Sci. Fenn.* (1925), v. 50:12, p. 1–45.