Matematicheskaya fizika, analiz, geometriya 2002, v. 9, No. 4, p. 642–647

## On the growth of meromorphic functions

I.I. Marchenko

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, V.N. Karazin Kharkov National University 4 Svobody Sq., Kharkov, 61077, Ukraine

E-mail:iim@ukr.net

Szczecin University, Institute of Mathematics 15 Wielkopolska Str., Szczecin, 70451, Poland E-mail:marchenko@wmf.univ.szczecin.pl

> Received October 17, 2002 Communicated by I.V. Ostrovskii

We obtained the estimates for upper and lower logarithmic density of the set  $A(\gamma) = \left\{ r : \sum_{k=1}^{q} \mathcal{L}(r, a_k, f) < 2B(\gamma, \Delta(0, f'))T(r, f) \right\}$ , where  $B(\gamma, \Delta)$  is Shea's constant,  $\Delta(0, f')$  is Valiron's deficiency of the derivative of the function f at zero.

We formulate the fundamental result on deficient values, obtained by Nevanlinna.

**Theorem A** [1]. Let f(z) be a meromorphic function in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then

1) 
$$\delta(a, f) \leq 1$$
 for each  $a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  
2)  $\sum_{a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}} \delta(a, f) \leq 2$ .

In 1969 V.P. Petrenko developed a theory of the growth of meromorphic functions. We recall its main characteristics. For each number a we set [2]

$$\mathcal{L}(r, a, f) = \max_{|z|=r} \log^{+} \frac{1}{|f(z) - a|}, \quad \mathcal{L}(r, \infty, f) = \max_{|z|=r} \log^{+} |f(z)|.$$

The magnitude of the deviation in the sence of Petrenko is defined by:

$$\beta(a, f) := \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{L}(r, a, f)}{T(r, f)}.$$

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 30D30, 30D35. This research was supported by INTAS-99-00089 project.

© I.I. Marchenko, 2002

This value characterizes the deviation of the function f(z) from the value a in a stronger metric than a deficiency  $\delta(a, f)$ . It was shown that for meromorphic functions of a finite lower order the properties of  $\beta(a, f)$  are similar to the properties of  $\delta(a, f)$ . Thus V.P. Petrenko has obtained a sharp estimate for  $\beta(a, f)$ and also some estimate for  $\sum_{(a)} \beta(a, f)$ .

**Theorem B** [2]. For meromorphic functions of a finite lower order  $\lambda$ 

$$eta(a,f) \leq \left\{ egin{array}{c} rac{\pi\lambda}{\sin\pi\lambda}\,, & ext{if} \quad \lambda < rac{1}{2}, \ \pi\lambda\,, & ext{if} \quad \lambda \geq rac{1}{2} \end{array} 
ight. \ \sum_{(a)}eta(a,f) \leq 816\pi(\lambda+1)^2. \end{array} 
ight.$$

,

In the case  $\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$  the inequality in the theorem B was proved by A.A. Goldberg and I.V. Ostrovskii in 1961 [3].

In 1990 together with my student A.I. Shcherba we have obtained a sharp estimate for the series  $\sum_{(a)} \beta(a, f)$  which is an analogue of the deficiency relation for the magnitudes  $\beta(a, f)$  [4].

**Theorem C [4].** If f(z) is a meromorphic function of a finite lower order  $\lambda$ , then

$$\sum_{(a)} \beta(a, f) \le \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi\lambda}{\sin\pi\lambda}, & \text{if } \lambda < \frac{1}{2}, \\ 2\pi\lambda, & \text{if } \lambda \ge \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

In 1970 D.F. Shea (see [2, 5]) has obtained the sharp estimate of the deviation of a meromorphic function of a finite lower order in terms of the Valiron deficiency. We put

$$\Lambda(\Delta) := \left\{ \begin{aligned} \lambda : 0 \le \lambda \le 0.5 \ and \ \sin\frac{\pi\lambda}{2} \le \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{2}} \\ \end{bmatrix}, \\ B(\lambda, \Delta) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \pi\lambda\sqrt{\Delta(2-\Delta)}, & \text{if } \lambda \notin \Lambda(\Delta), \\ \frac{\pi\lambda}{\sin\pi\lambda}(1-(1-\Delta)\cos\pi\lambda), & \text{if } \lambda \in \Lambda(\Delta). \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$
(1)

**Theorem D** [5]. Let f(z) be a meromorphic function of a finite lower order  $\lambda$ . Then for each  $a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ 

$$\beta(a, f) \le B(\lambda, \Delta),$$

where  $\Delta = \Delta(a, f)$  is Valiron's deficiency of f(z) at the point a.

Matematicheskaya fizika, analiz, geometriya , 2002, v. 9, No. 4

643

For meromorphic functions of infinite lower order the magnitude  $\beta(a, f)$  can be equal to  $\infty$ . Therefore the following result of W. Bergweiler and H. Bock [6] is interesting in this case.

**Theorem F** [6]. For meromorphic functions of infinite lower order

$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\max_{|z|=r} \log^+ |f(z)|}{rT'_-(r,f)} \le \pi.$$
(2)

In connection with (2) A. Eremenko has introduced the quantity

$$b(a, f) = \liminf_{r \to \infty} rac{\mathcal{L}(r, a, f)}{A(r, f)},$$

where  $A(r, f)\pi$  is the area, counting multiplicity of covering, of the image on the Riemann sphere of  $\{z : |z| < 1\}$  under f(z) [7]. From the estimate (2) it follows that

$$b(a, f) \leq \pi$$
.

A. Eremenko has proved an analogue of the deficiency relation for b(a, f).

**Theorem G [7].** Let f(z) be a meromorphic function such that the set  $\{a : b(a, f) > 0\}$  contains more than one point. Then

$$\sum_{a\in\overline{\mathbf{C}}}b(a,f)\leq 2\pi.$$

In 1998 I have obtained the estimates for b(a, f) and  $\sum_{(a)} b(a, f)$  in the terms

of Valiron's deficiency.

**Theorem K [8].** Let f(z) be a meromorphic function of infinite lower order. Then

(a) 
$$b(a, f) \le \pi \sqrt{\Delta(a, f)(2 - \Delta(a, f))},$$
  
(b)  $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{C}} b(a, f) \le 2\pi \sqrt{\Delta(0, f')(2 - \Delta(0, f'))}$ 

**Corollary.** For a meromorphic function f(z) we have

$$E(f) = \{a: b(a, f) > 0\} \subset V(f) = \{a: \Delta(a, f) > 0\}.$$

Matematicheskaya fizika, analiz, geometriya, 2002, v. 9, No. 4

We recall the definition of upper and lower logarithmic densities of a set. Let E be a measurable set on a positive half-axis. The quantities

$$\begin{array}{l} \overline{\mathrm{logdens}} \ E = \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{\log R} \int\limits_{E \cap [1,R]} \frac{dt}{t} \,, \\\\ \underline{\mathrm{logdens}} \ E = \liminf_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{\log R} \int\limits_{E \cap [1,R]} \frac{dt}{t} \,, \end{array}$$

are called an upper and a lower logarithmic density respectively. We put

$$B(\gamma) := \left\{ egin{array}{cc} rac{\pi\gamma}{\sin\pi\gamma}\,, & ext{if} & \gamma < rac{1}{2}\,, \ \pi\gamma\,, & ext{if} & \gamma \geq rac{1}{2}\,. \end{array} 
ight.$$

**Theorem L [9].** Let f(z) be a meromorphic function of a finite lower order  $\lambda$  and order  $\rho$ ,  $0 < \gamma < \infty$ ,  $a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ ,

$$E_1(\gamma) = \left\{r: \mathcal{L}(r,a,f) < B(\gamma)T(r,f)
ight\}.$$

Then

$$\overline{\operatorname{logdens}} E_1(\gamma) \ge 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\gamma}, \quad \underline{\operatorname{logdens}} E_1(\gamma) \ge 1 - \frac{\rho}{\gamma}.$$

For the case when f(z) is an entire function and  $a = \infty$  this theorem has been obtained by M. Essen and D.F. Shea [10].

**Theorem M [9].** Let f(z) be a transcendental meromorphic function of a finite lower order  $\lambda$  and order  $\rho$ ,  $0 < \gamma < \infty$ ;  $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^q \in \mathbb{C}$  is a finite set of distinct complex numbers,

$$E_2(\gamma) = \left\{ r : \sum_{k=1}^q \mathcal{L}(r, a_k, f) < 2B(\gamma)T(r, f) \right\} .$$

Then

$$\overline{\text{logdens}} \ E_2(\gamma) \ge 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\gamma}, \quad \underline{\text{logdens}} \ E_2(\gamma) \ge 1 - \frac{\rho}{\gamma}.$$

We note another result in this direction.

**Theorem N [11].** Let f(z) be a meromorphic function of a finite lower order  $\lambda$  and order  $\rho$ ,  $\tau > 0$ ,  $a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  is an arbitrary number,

$$E_3(\gamma) = \{r : \mathcal{L}(r, a, f) < B(\gamma, \Delta(a, f))T(r, f)\}, if \Delta(a, f) > 0\}$$

Matematicheskaya fizika, analiz, geometriya, 2002, v. 9, No. 4

645

$$E_3(\gamma) = \{r : \mathcal{L}(r, a, f) < \varepsilon T(r, f)\}, if \Delta(a, f) = 0,$$

where  $B(\gamma, \Delta)$  is the function defined in (1). Then

$$\overline{\operatorname{logdens}} E_3(\gamma) \ge 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\gamma}, \quad \underline{\operatorname{logdens}} E_3(\gamma) \ge 1 - \frac{\rho}{\gamma}.$$

We have the following results.

**Theorem 1.** Let f(z) be a meromorphic function of a finite lower order  $\lambda$ and order  $\rho$ ,  $0 < \gamma < \infty$ ,  $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^q \in \mathbb{C}$  is a finite set of distinct complex numbers,  $\varepsilon > 0$  is an arbitrary number,

$$A(\gamma) = \{r : \sum_{k=1}^{q} \mathcal{L}(r, a_k, f) < B(\gamma, \Delta(0, f'))T(r, f)\}, \text{ if } \Delta(a, f) > 0,$$
$$A(\gamma) = \{r : \sum_{k=1}^{q} \mathcal{L}(r, a_k, f) < \varepsilon T(r, f)\}, \text{ if } \Delta(a, f) = 0,$$

where  $B(\gamma, \Delta)$  is the function defined in (1),  $\Delta(0, f')$  is Valiron's deficiency of f'(z) at 0. Then

$$\overline{\text{logdens}} \ A(\gamma) \ge 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\gamma}, \quad \underline{\text{logdens}} \ A(\gamma) \ge 1 - \frac{\rho}{\gamma}.$$

**Corollary 1.** For a meromorphic function f(z) of a finite lower order  $\lambda$  it is true the inequality

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbf{C}} \beta(a, f) \le 2B\left(\lambda, \Delta(0, f')\right),$$

where  $B(\gamma, \Delta)$  is the function defined in (1),  $\Delta(0, f')$  is Valiron's deficiency of the derivative of the function f at zero.

The proof of the Theorem 1 is similar to the proof of the Theorem M [9] with use of the methods of A. Baernstein [12], R. Gariepy and J.L. Lewis [13], A. Weistman [14], P. Barry [15] and [4, 8, 9].

## References

- [1] R. Nevanlinna, Analytic functions. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1970).
- [2] V.P. Petrenko, Growth of meromorphic functions. Vyshcha shkola, Kharkov (1978). (Russian)

- [3] A.A. Goldberg and I.V. Ostrovskii, Some theorems on the growth of meromorphic functions. — Zap. mat. otd. Kharkov University and Kharkov Math. Soc. Ser. 4 (1961), v. 27, p. 3-37. (Russian)
- [4] I.I. Marchenko and A.I. Shcherba, On the magnitudes of deviations of meromorphic functions. — Mat. Sb. (1990), v. 181, p. 3–24; Engl. transl. in Math. USSR Sb. (1991), v. 69, p. 1–24.
- [5] W.H.J. Fuchs, Topics in Nevanlinna theory. Proc. In: NRL Conf. on Classical Function Theory (1970), Naval Res. Lab., Washington, DC, p. 1–32.
- [6] W. Bergweiler and H. Bock, On the growth of meromorphic function of infinite order. – J. Anal. Math. (1994), v. 64, p. 327–336.
- [7] A. Eremenko, An analogue of the defect relation for the uniform metric. Compl. Variables Theory Appl. (1997), v. 64, p. 83-97.
- [8] I.I. Marchenko, On the growth of entire and meromorphic functions. Mat. Sb. (1998), v. 189, No.6, p. 59-84; Engl. transl. in Sb. Mat. (1991), v. 189, No. 6, p. .
- [9] I.I. Marchenko, An analog of the second main theorem for uniform metric. Mat. fiz., analiz, geom. (1998), v. 5, No. 3/4, p. 212-227. (Russian)
- [10] M. Essen and D.F. Shea, Applications of Denjoy integral inequalities and differential inequalities to growth problems for subgarmonic and meromorphic functions. — Proc. Royal Irish. Acad. (1982), v. A82, No. 2, p. -201-216.
- [11] I.I. Marchenko, On the Shea estimate for the magnitude of deviation of a meromorphic function. Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. (2000), No. 8, p. 46–51. (Russian)
- [12] A. Baernstein, Integral means, univalent functions and circular symmetrization. Acta Math. (1974), v. 133, No. 3-4, p. 139–169.
- [13] R. Gariepy and J.L. Lewis, Space analogues of theorems for subharmonic and meromorphic functions. — Ark. Math. (1975), v. 13, No. 1, p. 91–105.
- [14] A. Weistman, A theorem on Nevanlinna deficiencies. Acta Math. (1972), v. 128, No.1-2, p. 41–52.
- [15] P. Barry, On a theorem of Kjellberg. Quart. J. Math., Oxford (1964), v. 15, p. 179-191.

Matematicheskaya fizika, analiz, geometriya, 2002, v. 9, No. 4